



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 965 OF 2001

3

BETWEEN

C.F-100-24



✓ Sheikh Salim Niyaria son of Sheikh Mohammad Niyaria, aged about 33 years, Councillor, Ward No.11, Municipal Council Raigarh, resident of Ward No.11, Raigarh .

P. R. No. 962/2001
Presented by Shri. H. S. Patil
date 18.6.2001

PETITIONER

AND



1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary Department of Urban Development and Administration, Mantralay, D.K. Buildings, Raipur.
2. Collector, Raigarh,
District Raigarh.
3. Superintendent of Police, Raigarh,
District Raigarh.
4. Mohd. Asraf Khan, Vice President,
Municipal Council Raigarh, through its Chief
Municipal Officer, Municipal Council, Raigarh.
5. Altaf Ahmed , Additional Secretary,
Chhattisgarh Government, Urban Administration
and Development Department, D.K. Building, Raipur.
6. Director, Urban Administration, Raipur.
7. Deputy Director, Urban Administration,
Bilaspur.
8. Lokayukt, Bilaspur.
9. Inspector General of Police,
Bilaspur.

RESPONDENTS

...02.



RECEIVED COPY.

18/1/2001
JUDGE TO A.C. BILASPUR

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 965/2001
मामला क्रमांक सं 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>2/1/2002</u> Heard Shri Vivek Ranjan Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Gautam Bhaduri, learned GA for the State. It is stated that despite complaints made against the respondent 4 in writing, the competent authority particularly the respondent no.2 has not taken any action. In my view, on such complaint being made against the complainant, the same should be examined and disposed of. In this view of the matter, I direct the State/respondents particularly respondent 2 to examine the complaints (Annexures P/1 and P/2) on merit and pass necessary orders expeditiously and preferably within three months. With the above observations and directions, this petition is disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Chief Justice

[फोटो देखिये]